

[Gunjal* et al., 6(2): February, 2017] ICTM Value: 3.00

INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF ENGINEERING SCIENCES & RESEARCH TECHNOLOGY

OPTIMIZATION IN INDUSTRIAL STEEL BUILDING BY USING DIFFERENT

SECTION

Mr. Akash G. Gunjal^{*1}, Prof. V.P. Kulkarni²

^{*1}PG Student, Department of Civil Engineering, AVCOE, Sangamner, Maharashtra, India ²Assistant Professor, Department of Civil Engineering, AVCOE, Sangamner, Maharashtra, India

ABSTRACT

Buildings & houses are one of the oldest construction activities of human beings. The construction technology has advanced since the beginning from primitive construction technology to the present concept of modern house buildings. The present construction methodology for buildings calls for the best aesthetic look, high quality & fast construction, cost effective & innovative touch. Pre Engineered Steel Buildings are manufactured or Produced in the plant itself. The detailed structural members are designed for their respective location and are numbered, which cannot be altered; because members are manufactured with respect to design features. An efficiently designed pre-engineered building can be lighter than the conventional steel buildings by up to 30%. Lighter weight equates to less steel and a potential price savings in structural framework.

This also covers the advantages of hollow sections in its effectiveness to reduce corrosion, minimizing overall cost, and improvement in aesthetic value. The study involves the comparative analysis industrial steel building using sections under the influence of usual loading values. It also covers comparative study of section properties and its attributes and wide application in architecture, industrial, infrastructural and general engineering.

KEYWORDS: IS 800-2007, IS 806, IS 2062, IS 875, IS 1161.

INTRODUCTION

How to meet the housing and infrastructural needs of society in a sustainable manner in unquestionably most important challenge confronting the steel industry today. This study about design components of industrial building using open sections, tubular sections and pre-engineering concept. This sections are designed by using most suitable cross sections according to dead load, live load, wind load, etc. As a results the structure will loss its weight up to 35% during specified life span. In PEB construction is simple design easy to construct and light in weight both time and cost of erection are minimized. Outstanding architectural design can achieve at low cost using standard architectural features and interface details. In conventional steel building special architectural design and features must be developed for each project which often required results and thus resulting in much higher cost. Future expansion would more difficult and more likely, costlier than tubular sections and open sections.



Fig. 1 Typical Cross section of PEB

http://www.ijesrt.com@ International Journal of Engineering Sciences & Research Technology



ISSN: 2277-9655 Impact Factor: 4.116 CODEN: IJESS7

[Gunjal* et al., 6(2): February, 2017] ICTM Value: 3.00 ANALYSIS AND DESIGN Data required for analysis and design of Industrial Shed, Plan Area= 640mm² Location= Pune ; Roof Truss= Pratt



Fig.2 Truss Diagram

Geometry:- Span=16m ; $\emptyset = 18.6^{\circ}$ 8Panel point spacing of purlins=1.75m Type of sheet= G.I. :Length of sheet=3.05m Sloping length=8.44m Spacing of truss=4m; No. of trusses= 8

Table 1	: Load	combinations	of members
I HOIC I	· Louu	comonunons	of monitoris

	1.5(DL+LL)	NATU	1.5(DL+WL)	NAŤURE	1.2(DL+LL+WL)	NATURE
		RE				
TIE						
L_0L_1	103.98	Т	64.32	C	7.764	С
L_1L_2	103.98	Т	64.32	C	7.764	C
L_2L_3	89.94	Т	50.34	C	2.064	C
L_3L_4	92.16	Т	26.58	C	25.092	Т
Principal						
rafter						
L_0U_1	109.72	С	88.305	Т	28.14	Т
U_1U_2	103.33	С	77.16	Т	15.42	Т
U_2U_3	76.335	С	56.88	Т	13872	Т
U_3U_4	52.72	С	33.28	Т	5.124	Т
SRUT						
U_1L_1	0		0		0	
U_2L_2	4.39	Т	5.01	C	2.172	C
U_3L_3	6.19	Т	7.92	C	3.576	C
U_4L_4	7.5	Т	8.95	С	3.948	С
SLING						
U_1L_2	13.86	С	0.69	Т	5.232	С
U_2L_3	19.47	С	24.82	Т	11.196	Т
U_3L_4	23.58	C	28.06	Т	12.336	Т

SUMMARY

Table 2: Summary of Sections in Structure						
Sr. No.	Description	Open Section	Tubular Section			
1	Principal Rafters	2 ISA 60x60x5	90mm N.D ; 101.6mm			
2	Main Ties	2 ISA 50x50x6	O.D of Light weight			
3	Struts	ISA 50x50x6				
4	Slings	ISA 50x50x6				
5	Purlins	ISMC125	50mm N.D			
6	Columns	ISLB250	ISLB 250			

http://www.ijesrt.com@International Journal of Engineering Sciences & Research Technology



[Gunjal* *et al.*, 6(2): February, 2017] ICTM Value: 3.00

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Table 3: Comparison of Sections				
	Open	Tubular	PEB	
Weight of 10truss with column (MT)	14.362	9.018	11.038	
Rate of truss (Rs.)	1196534.96	751318.072	919609.104	



Fig. 1. Weight comparison between sections



CONCLUSION

From analysis and design, it is proved that steel requirement for erecting steel structure using hollow section is very low as compared to structure constructed using conventional section. Though, the cost of erection of hollow section is more than conventional section, material requirement is tremendously reduced in structure



[Gunjal* et al., 6(2): February, 2017]

IC[™] Value: 3.00

ISSN: 2277-9655 Impact Factor: 4.116 CODEN: IJESS7

using hollow section. Hollow sections have excellent mechanical, geometrical, tensile, compressive and bending characteristics for exposed conditions and aggressive environments. Thus from estimation, we came on conclusion that cost of erection and manufacturing in case of hollow section is reduced by half of that of conventional section. The pre-engineering building has cost and time of erection is minimized as compare to conventional and hollow sections. It was found that there is saving of 35 to 50 % in tubular sections and 35 to 45% in PEB in steel work and saving of cost in open sections and tubular sections is 30 to 50% and open sections and PEB 20 to 30%.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

It gives us immense pleasure in submitting paper on "**Optimization in industrial steel building by using different section**" towards the Partial fulfillment of Bachelor Degree in Civil Engineering course. We take this opportunity to show panegyrics and thanks to our guide **Prof. Kulkarni V. P.** whose suggestions helps us lot throughout the duration of our efforts on project. We feel great sense of gratitude towards her/him for being so patient & attentive whenever any problem came up during project work.We are also indebted to **Prof. Mate N.U.** Head of the Department who was constant source of inspiration to all of us during completion of this project work.We would like to extend our special thanks to the Principal Sir for providing his valuable time to go through our report and providing helpful suggestion. We are thankful to all teaching and non-teaching staff member of Civil Engineering Department for their help and co-operation during the course of this work. Also we want to say thanks to our friends who have helped us directly or indirectly for their support, help, suggestions and encouragement.

REFERENCES

- [1] J. Wardeniercidect(comité international pour le développement et l'etude de la construction tubulaire)hollow sections in structural applications
- [2] A.jayaraman1, r geethamani2, n sathyakumar3, n karthiga shenbagam4 pissn: 2321-7308 volume: 03 issue: 10 | oct-2014, design and economical of roof trusses & purlins (comparison of limit state and working stress method)
- [3] Sp : 38(s&t)-1987 handbook of typified designs for structures with steel roof trusses (with and without cranes) (based on is codes
- [4] Sp: 40(s&t)-1987 handbook on structures with steel portal frames (without cranes)
- [5] M.g.kalyanshetti, g.s. mirajkarissn: 2248-9622 vol. 2, issue 6, november- december 2012, pp.1460-14 "comparison between conventional steel structures and tubular steel structures " m.g.kalyanshetti, g.s. mirajkar
- [6] Is: 875 (part i) 1987 (incorporating is: 1911 1967) (reaffirmed 2003) indianstandardcode of practice for design loads (other than earthquake) for buildings and structures part 1 dead loads - unit weights of building materials and stored materials(second revision)
- [7] Is : 875 (part 2) 1987 (reaffirmed 1997) code of practice for design loads (other than earthquake) for buildings and structures part 2 imposed loads.
- [8] Is875(part3)-1987 code pf practice for design loads (other than earthquake) for buildings and structures part 3 wind loads.